

VOM(R)S Workshop January 22-26, 2007

Participants

CERN

Maria Alandes
Miguel Anjo
Joel Closier
Maria Dimou
Joachim Flammer
Lanxin Ma
Remi Mollon
Ian Neilson
Piotr Nyczyk

INFN-CNAF

Andrea Ceccanti
Vincenzo Ciaschini

Imperial College, UK

Gidon Moont

FERMILAB

Tanya Levshina

Summary

We had a long discussion about improving communication between voms/vomrs developers especially when there are any new feature proposals or changes in api. We had about 2 hours discussion on the topic of generic attributes first within working group and then for another 2 hours with all LCG VO managers where Ian Neilson, Dave Kelsey, and Claudio Grandy were present as well. There are definitely two experiments that are needed Generic Attributes (Atlas and LHCb).

CMS manager said that he thought that they would have the same requirements.

We have asked vo managers to provide written requirements that will be published at [twiki page](#) provided by Andrea and we have set deadline for collecting requirements.

It was made absolutely clear that Generic Attributes should be handled on the level of a vo specific software and will NOT be interpreted by grid middleware.

I have promised that I'll implement the following minimal requirements:

1. vo admin can assign value to predefined attribute during member's approval or later in case if changes needed to be done
2. user will be notified about assigned attribute and should be able to see it via voms

3. in vomrs attributes will be attached only to membership (will not be any group related attributes)
4. the ability to use generic attribute should be configurable

voms:

1. will provide way to assure uniqueness of attributes
2. will provide bulk selection (Isit of all dn for a specific attribute)

Because it so straight forward now, I would probably be able to use "internal" personal data (data that are collected from third party and not from user) and just use mechanisms already available in vomrs. I have to think more about this more.

Also, it was announced that LCG Task Force created two years ago has achieved it goals and should be dissolved. That should be reported to GDB and one should ask their advice how to proceed and organize future communication between VO managers and voms/vomrs developers.

Additional information:

The report prepared for the LCG Service Coordination Meeting could be found [here](#).

Detailed notes

The main points of each day, contributed documents and actions are [here](#).