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Background and Need

• Modern HEP experiments produce large datasets which consist of many components of varying size, structure, format, and complexity.

• Within a single experiment there will be need to archive:
  – Files with sizes ranging from a few bytes to many Gigabytes (size complexity)
  – Files with simple line structures such as ASCII or XML files or complex internal structured data such as Root files. (data complexity)
  – Files with various internal formats such as bitmap image files, ASCII data, or arbitrary binary data (possibly OS specific). (format complexity)

• Such data usually exists in a hierarchy with a set of global configuration files applicable to many running condition files which are in turn applicable to many data files.
Traditional HEP Data

- The traditional HEP experiment use a well defined “Run/Event” model to define and organize their data.
Non-Traditional HEP Data

- In contrast newer & non-traditional HEP experiment use all sorts of schemes to record data
  - Image data
    - discrete photos
  - Image series
    - framed time windows
  - Time series data
    - long continuous wave forms

- Organization sometimes fits in a “run” model, but more often is more of a generic “time window”
Storage/Catalog Structure

• Storage of these data require
  – Maintain the structure, interconnections & hierarchy of the data
  – Maintain the configuration and ties to the data
  – But want to be able to locate/retrieve/analyze a specific data item quickly

• Efficient Retrieval of the data requires
  – User does not know the details of the storage system
  – User does not have direct knowledge of actual data locations

• But…efficient organization of data dictates that users must be able to search the data based on its characteristics and group the data these characteristics.
Goal

- Goal is to provide experiments with:

  1. A simple method for data to enter the storage facility (without knowledge of the details of facility operation)
  2. The ability to specify meta information that is attached to the data that allows for its description, organization, associations and hierarchical relationships.
  3. The ability to locate and retrieve the data from the storage system (based on meta information instead of knowledge of the storage facility)
  4. A mechanism for delivery of the data to a user specified locations
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Instead it should be a black box…
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Details of the storage are obfuscated behind API
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Tool Set

• We have built a data handling tool set which consists of three main components:

  Ingest: Fermi File Transfer Service (F-FTS)
  Simple interface for transferring, registering & injecting arbitrary data into the storage facility. Supports arbitrary data types and fully customizable meta data. [Asynchronous client side daemon]

  Catalog & Search: SAM (Sequential Access via Metadata)
  Integrated metadata and replica catalog with storage facility aware caching and “project bookkeeping” for optimized data delivery.

  Retrieval/Delivery: IFDH (Intensity Frontier Data Handling)
  Modular transport protocol abstraction layer with integration into data and replica catalogs. “Fetches data to the user”
Data Ingest (F-FTS)

• F- FTS presents experiments with a simple “dropbox” interface to storage systems and file catalog.

• F-FTS performs the following actions:
  – Recursively scans designated dropbox directories for files eligible for transfer
  – Extracts/Generates metadata for each file either from user supplied json files or by means of a user supplied plugin script.
  – Queues the file transfers (LAN or WAN, multi-hop & chaining)
  – Verifies successful transfer of data to final storage locations.
  – Stores metadata and final file locations in SAM.
  – “Cleans up” successfully transferred files
  – Detailed monitoring
Case Examples (COUPP)

- COUPP is a bubble chamber dark matter search experiment running at SNOLAB.
- Data is organized on disk based on:
  - Running configuration
  - Collection date
  - A subdivision within each date based on running period
  - Individual events
- Data consists of multiple formats:
  - Text based data
  - Binary numeric data
  - Bitmap image data
- Common config files exist for each configuration and date.
Case Examples (COUPP)

- The following metadata was defined to match the above organization:
  - **Data_stream**: Identifies global running configuration
  - **Data_tier**: Identifies data type, i.e. configuration data, running condition data, or event data
  - **Run_number**: Identifies the date when data was collected
  - **Subrun_number**: Identifies subdivision within a date
  - **Event_number**: Identifies each event within a subdivision

- The above allows the actual directory structure of the original organization to be reconstructed.

- This allow selections of data subsets such as all data in a configuration, all data within a range of days, specific sets of individual events.
Holometer

• Laser Interferometer
• “Data” is a series of interference patterns
Case Examples (Holometer)

- Data collected on combination of machines running either embedded Linux or MS Windows.
- Data exported via NFS and CIFS shares to offline machine where F-FTS runs
  - Allows F-FTS to provide DAQ data storage independent of OS compatibility issues.
  - F-FTS maintenance and operation is independent of DAQ operations.
- Data is stored in multiple formats including
  - .gwf files (Gravitational Wave Frame)
  - .h5 files (Hierarchical Data Format v5)
Case Examples (DarkSide)

- DarkSide liquid argon TPC dark matter search is located at Laboratori Nazionali del Gran Sasso.
- F-FTS is used to transfer neutron veto data from Italy to Fermilab.
- F-FTS runs on DAQ machines and initiates gridftp transfers over wide area network into the disk cache from end of the Fermilab tape storage facility.
  - ~500 TB of data in ~100K files have been transferred over the wide area network.
Case Examples (Nova)

• Nova makes extensive use of FTS in all aspects of operation
  – Transferred > 1.6 PB of data and over 12M files over via FTS
  – Raw data, calibration data and logs are transferred from the far detector at Ash River Minnesota to Fermilab.
    • Transfer done with multi-stage FTS transfer
    • One instance transfers via gridftp from Ash River to FNAL disk
    • Second instance transfers form disk into tape storage
    • Second stage also replicates data for immediate use at FNAL via use of multiple transfer destinations
    • Final status of storage to tape is transmitted back to Ash River
  – Production reconstruction and Monte Carlo generation done on Grid resources also use FTS to store output to the Fermilab tape facility.
SAM Concept

- “Object based” data, replica and project catalog

- Each data object is registered in the catalog along with metadata describing it.
  - Two components to the metadata
    - Base schema – General Object Information
      - identifier, size, data tier, begin/end times, parentage/provenance
    - User parameters – Data content specific fields
      - Detector type, location, trigger stream, etc...
  - Only base schema is required
    - Simplifies registration of foreign/legacy data with catalog systems

- “Datasets” are then defined via queries against the meta data.
  - Evaluate to the set of objects to retrieve/analyze
Implementation (SAM)

- SAM provides metadata service for data handling. It provides facilities for:
  - Defining arbitrary string-value pairs which can be associated with each file in the system.
  - Storing location information for each file.
  - Searching the database for files which match logical constraints on the metadata.
  - Storing the results of such searches as dataset definitions.
  - Recording the processing history of files accessed via the stored dataset definitions.
Implementation (IFDHC)

- IFDHC is a set of tools responsible for instigating the “last mile” of data movement between storage elements:
  - Can move data between arbitrary elements, e.g. local disks, disk caches such as dCache, or tape libraries.
  - Will select a transport protocol suitable to the storage elements.
    - cp, gridftp, srm, dccp,, etc.
  - Can instigate transfers as local copies, copies to or from remote nodes, or as third party transfers between remote nodes.
  - Understands data storage locations as provided by queries to the SAM metadata system.
  - Incorporates load leveling mechanisms in multi-file transfers to prevent overloading of storage resources.
Summary

• We have created a set of tools which are able to map almost arbitrary data into structures that can be:
  – Stored
  – Organized
  – Queried
  – Retrieved

• The tools set has been successfully used to perform large scale archiving of data from dark matter searches, neutrino oscillation experiment, astro physics data.